



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,423	02/15/2002	Boris Andreyevich Krasnoiarov	PLM003001	3748

29585 7590 08/01/2005

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP
153 TOWNSEND STREET
SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107-1907

EXAMINER

SAIN, GAUTAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,423	KRASNOIAROV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gautam Sain	2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

PD

DETAILED ACTION

- 1) This NonFinal rejection is in response to amendments filed 5/24/05.
- 2) Examiner introduces the Nazem references (see below for detail).

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3) A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/24/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4-1) Claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 30, 31, 33, 46, 47, 61-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "Aapa"), in view of Lowery et al (US 5894554, issued Apr 13, 1999), further in view of Nazem et al (US 5983227, issued 11/99).

Regarding claims 1, 16, 31, 46, Aapa teaches receiving a ... components (ie., portal displays content to user upon user supplying user ID in the request with other data)(page 3, lines 10-21).

Aapa teaches after receiving ... content (ie., call to retrieve CRM content)(page 6, lines 12-20).

Aapa does not teach, but Lowery teaches sending ... information request (ie., multi-threaded ... simultaneous processing)(col 4, lines 40-53)(concurrently processing ...)(col 6, lines 20-32).

Aapa teaches forming ... requests ... transmitting ... client (ie., process assembles the retrieved content and sends ... user terminal for display)(col 6, lines 18-24).

Aapa in view of Lowery does not expressly teach the amended portions of the claims, but Nazem does suggest the claims with the amendments (ie., based on request from the user, the server queries various third party data providing servers that get data from these other servers in a parallel manner (fig 2, items 230, 232, 234; col 4, lines 10-20); where user makes selection of stock quote symbols, team scores and weather one after another (col 5, lines 45-48) and the page generator generates a custom front page with live data displayed to the user, item 210, col 3, line 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa to include multi-threading for simultaneous/concurrent processing of personal web page content generation as taught by Lowery, providing the benefit of a method for creating personal pages while releasing the Web server to process other requests on one or more data sources in response to request (Lowery, Abstract section), further to include a custom page generator that displays based on

user preferences, live data from various sources as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claims 2, 17, 32, 47, Aapa teaches single request ... Web pag (ie., portal displays content to user upon user supplying user ID in the request with other data)(page 3, lines 10-21).

Aapa teaches forming ... transmitting ... client (ie., process assembles the retrieved content and sends ... user terminal for display)(col 6, lines 18-24).

Regarding claim 61, 67, 73, 79, Aapa in view of Lowery does not teach, but Nazem teaches uniquely identifying ... being used (ie., browser with my.yahoo.com, user can log on anywhere at any terminal that is connected to the Internet)(col 2, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources that the user can log onto from anywhere as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claim 62, 68, 74, 80, Aapa in view of Lowery does not teach, but Nazem teaches caching ... future request (ie., cache of recently used user template w/ live data stored in local memory)(col 2, lines 2-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources that the caches

recently used user templates with live data stored in local memory as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claims 63, 69, 75, 81, Aapa in view of Lowery does not teach, but Nazem teaches indexing ... user preferences (ie., user preferences are set for the data to be displayed on the my.yahoo.com page)(col 2, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claims 64, 70, 76, 82, Aapa in view of Lowery does not teach, but Nazem teaches retrieving one ... component server (ie., data stored in local ... custom page built without requesting other server)(col 2, lines 8-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources that the caches recently used user templates with live data stored in local memory without requesting other sources. as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claims 65, 71, 77, 83, Aapa in view of Lowery does not teach, but Nazem teaches at least one of the cached ... to the indexing (ie., access using user configuration with hash of user name)(col 3, lines 40-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources that access using user configuration with hash of user name as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

Regarding claims 66, 72, 78, 84, Aapa in view of Lower does not teach, but Nazem teaches form ... components (ie., custom selection of stock quotes, news, ...)(Abstract section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Lowery to include a custom page generator that displays based on user preferences, live data from various sources that the caches recently used user templates with live data stored in local memory as taught by Nazem, providing the benefit of a dynamic page generator (Nazem, Title).

4-2) Claims 3, 13, 14, 15, 18, 28, 29, 30, 33, 43, 44, 45, 48, 58, 59, 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter “Aapa”), in view of Lowery et al (as cited above) and Nazem (as cited above), further in view Greenwood (US 6675212, filed Jun 12, 2000).

Regarding claims 3, 18, 33, 48, Aapa in view of Lowery and Nazem does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches instantiating a timer ... web page (ie., period of time between additional data request)(col 4,lines 17-20).

Aapa in view of Lowery and Nazem does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches if no response ... steps of ... immediately ... carrying out ... waiting for that

response (ie., user is notified of the failure to obtain the request downloaded; the new instance of the user interface is created to display in the foreground and given active control in step 32 of figure 3A – the task is killed and user is notified of the failure where the user gets displayed a page without the requested downloads and can continue browsing)(col 9, lines 1-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery and Nazem to include killing a requested task once a period of time has elapsed and the user is notified of the unsuccessful attempt and allowed to continue browsing without the requested data as taught by Greenwood, providing the benefit of an system and method for efficient data browsing that allows a user to automatically continue with a data browsing session and automatically receive a requested data file when the requested data file's download is temporarily delayed (Greenwood, col 3, lines 44-48).

Regarding claims 13, 28, 43, 58, Aapa teaches standard network protocol (ie., content components ... communicable via standard network protocol)(page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 14, 29, 44, 59, Aapa teaches Aapa teaches ... HTTP ... (page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 15, 30, 45, 60, Aapa in view of Lowery and Nazem does not expressly teach, but Greenwood teaches generating a state machine ... request; and recursively ... information request (ie., system monitors the download process and

Art Unit: 2176

delivers progress indicators to users of download delays and processes termination request after some time has elapsed)(col 7, lines 29-40; col 9, lines 1-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery and Nazem to a system that monitors a download process and delivers progress indicators to users of downloading delays and processes termination requests after some time has elapsed as taught by Greenwood, providing the benefit of a system and method for efficient data browsing that allows a user to automatically continue with a data browsing session and automatically receive a requested data file when the requested data file's download is temporarily delayed (Greenwood, col 3, lines 44-48).

4-3) Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "Aapa"), in view of Lowery et al (as cited above) and Nazem (as cited above), further in view of Greenwood (as cited above), further in view of Anuff et al (US 6327628, filed May 19, 2000).

Regarding claims 4, 19, 34, 49, Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches "converting ... format" (ie., different platforms ... JSP or ASP ;Portal server allows for JSP, ASP using the same JAVA libraries)(col 2, lines 60-67)(Manager and services ... configuration ... data driven resolution ... runtime resolution)(col 4, line 16 – col 5, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem, Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 5, 20, 35, 50, Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches “common ... markup language” (ie., code generated by the portal server in HTML – where converted data is presented in a common layout/sytle...)(col 4, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem and Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution on a HTML based platform as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 6, 21, 36, 51, Aapa in view of Nazem, Greenwood and Anuff does not expressly teach, but Lowery teaches “coverting ... servers” (ie., page servers incorporates data from multiple data sources into single page, which resides on a separate machine responsible for maintaining a connection cache for serving those

specific components to the client and these are processed on different servers than the web server)(col 5, lines 40-65; lines 10-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Aapa in view of Greenwood and Anuff to include data is incorporated from multiple data sources into a single page as taught by Lowery, providing the benefit of a method for creating personal pages while releasing the Web server to process other requests on one or more data sources in response to request (Lowery, Abstract section).

Regarding claim 7, 22, 37, 52, Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem and Greenwood does not expressly teach, but Anuff teaches converting step ... user terminal (ie., different platforms ... JSP or ASP ;Portal server allows for JSP, ASP using the same JAVA libraries)(col 2, lines 60-67)(Manager and services ... configuration ... data driven resolution ... runtime resolution)(col 4, line 16 – col 5, line 67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Aapa in view of Lowery, Nazem and Greenwood to include a method to deal different platforms with data driven resolution at the main server during runtime as taught by Anuff, providing the benefit of a portal server that enables various resources to be controlled by the independent entities without affecting the portal, where individual businesses and other entities can exercise complete ownership of their portals, ... (Anuff, Abstract section).

Regarding claims 8, 23, 38, 53, Aapa teaches corporate portal server (ie., corporate portals)(page 2, lines 20-30).

Regarding claims 9, 24, 39, 54, Aapa teaches Internet portal server (ie., personalized "web portals")(page 2, lines 20-30)(Internet)(col 5, line 2).

Regarding claims 10, 25, 40, 55, Aapa teaches "each of the ... physically separate ... protocol" (ie., weather server 202 is separate from the News server 206 and connected on the standard network protocol)(page 4, lines 23-30; fig 2, items 202-206, 220).

Regarding claims 11, 26, 41, 56, Aapa teaches ... HTTP ... (page 3, lines 22-25).

Regarding claims 12, 27, 42, 57, Aapa teaches first component server ... management servers (ie., email server)(page 5, lines 10-15)(CRM ... email)(page 6, lines 12-20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-84 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The thrust of Applicant's arguments deal with the amended portions of the claims. Examiner introduces the Nazem references to reject the amended and new claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam Sain whose telephone number is 571-272-4096. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6.5

GS

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
7/28/2005